

Table 6-10. Recreation-Related Spending Associated with Use at Potentially Affected Recreation Areas^a, by County (in 2000 \$)

Spending Location	Current (Year 2000)		Future Without Restoration (Year 2030)		Future With Restoration (Year 2030)		Restoration-Related Changes in 2030 ^b	
	County Residents	Non-Residents	County Residents	Non-Residents	County Residents	Non-Residents	County Residents	Non-Residents
Tehama County	\$1.65 M	\$12.99 M	\$2.44 M	\$19.22 M	\$2.48 M	\$19.61 M	\$44,300	\$394,200
Butte County	\$1.99 M	\$2.72 M	\$2.95 M	\$4.03 M	\$3.03 M	\$4.08 M	\$75,200	\$43,700
Glenn County	\$218,800	\$1.49 M	\$323,800	\$2.27 M	\$330,600	\$2.31 M	\$6,800	\$43,700
<u>Colusa County</u>	\$105,800	\$6.19 M	\$156,600	\$9.16 M	\$164,100	\$9.54 M	\$7,500	\$373,000

Notes:

^a Potentially affected recreation areas include the Sacramento River from Red Bluff to Colusa, and the four National Wildlife Refuges and Wildlife Management Areas in the vicinity of the study area.

^b Restoration-related changes include only effects related to doubling of catch rates for fishing on the Sacramento River between Red Bluff and Colusa.