

## MANAGEMENT GROUP SURVEY

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_

### I. FACT-BASED QUESTIONS

1. What is your role at your agency and how long have you worked there?
2. Is coordinated management (working with other agencies) used for the operation and management of any of the local sites? If so, please describe.
3. How would you characterize the current recreation opportunity along the river as it exists?
4. How would you characterize the resource conditions along the river? (this might include issues related to soil erosion, riparian habitat/vegetation, presence of garbage/human waste, etc.)
5. How would you characterize the current social conditions, such as crowding, illicit uses of the area, illegal hunting activity, user group conflicts, unanticipated uses (tubing), etc.
6. Do you know of any there recreation-oriented conflicts occurring presently?
7. Has this changed in the time you've been familiar with the site? If a change has occurred, describe.
8. Can you think of any specific landowner-based issues where private lands affect the recreation use of the study area and visa versa?

## II. SUBJECTIVE/EVALUATIVE QUESTIONS

1. Are the natural resources (e.g. soils, vegetation, wildlife, etc.) and social conditions (e.g. crowding vs. solitude) at the site(s) stable at their current use level? (describe responses for each type of condition).
2. If the resource or social conditions in the river section that are deteriorating, do you have a sense of how fast that deterioration is happening? Why?
3. If use were to increase because of the public being made aware of the area, what impacts would increased use have on the area as it currently exists?
4. How do you think that this site fits into the larger context of recreation on the Sacramento River corridor within the study area?
5. What other kind(s) of recreation opportunities do you think this area should/could provide or are suitable to this area?
6. What kinds of site-development opportunities, including facilities and/or infrastructure, would you recommend for this site? (this could include a “no change” recommendation)
7. Given what you know about current recreation use of the river corridor, how do you see recreation levels changing in this area with time?
8. What avenues of information dissemination would you recommend utilizing to inform the public about the site? (e.g., through public agencies, Boating & Waterways, signage, web sites, etc.)
9. If site improvements or information about the site were to increase use, what level of land manager presence and law enforcement would be needed to protect the site’s resources and ensure public safety?
10. Looking at the larger context of other sites along the river corridor, do you have any recommendations for recreation opportunities at other sites within the study area?

### **III. MANAGEMENT COORDINATION QUESTIONS**

1. What opportunities (formal or informal) do you currently have (if any) to interact with land managers from other agencies?
2. Have there been approaches that have been successful? Why or why not?
3. What constraints or barriers keep your agency from working more collaboratively with other agencies?
4. Part of this project includes developing recommendations for enhancing coordination between land management agencies in the river corridor.
  - Do you have any specific recommendations of what this kind of coordination program would look like?
  - Is there any particular agency that seems to be more successful or qualified to take the lead in a coordination effort?
  - Are there current structures that this kind of coordination could build upon?

### **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

1. Is there anything we haven't covered in this conversation that you would like to add?